## Thesis/Dissertation Proposal and Defense Evaluation

Student :			
Advisor:		Date	
Circle One:	Master's Thesis Defense	Dissertation Proposal [preliminary examination]	Dissertation Defense
Committee Me	embers:		

For purposes of graduate school mandated programmatic assessment, as part of meeting deliberation, **the committee is required to fill out the response sheet below**. A short explanation should be provided for any identified deficiencies in the **Comment** sections at the bottom of the sheet. The committee will go over the response sheet and steps that can be taken to address any weaknesses with the student at the end of the meeting. Completed forms are to be **turned in to the Graduate Student Coordinator**.

To be completed by the committee. Please check boxes for all evaluation criteria you feel are appropriate within each attribute category.

	te. I lease check boxes for all evaluation	<u></u>	
Attribute	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Overall quality presentation	☐ Poorly organized	☐ Clearly organized	☐ Well organized
	☐ Poor presentation	☐ Clear presentation	☐ Professional presentation
	☐ Poor communication skills	☐ Good communication skills	☐ Excellent communication skills
☐ Attribute not applicable	☐ Slides and handouts difficult to read	☐ Slides and handouts clear	☐ Slides and handouts outstanding
Overall breadth of knowledge	☐ Presentation unacceptable	☐ Presentation acceptable	☐ Presentation superior
	☐ Presentation reveals critical weaknesses in depth of knowledge	☐ Presentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter	☐ Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge
	in subject matter  ☐ Presentation does not reflect well	☐ Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills	☐ Presentation reveals well developed critical thinking skills
☐ Attribute not applicable	developed critical thinking skills  ☐ Presentation is narrow in scope	☐ Presentation reveals the ability to draw from knowledge in several disciplines	☐ Presentation reveals the ability to interconnect and extend knowledge from multiple disciplines
Quality of response to questions	☐ Responses are incomplete	☐ Responses are complete	☐ Responses are eloquent
	☐ Arguments are poorly presented	☐ Arguments are well organized	☐ Arguments are skillfully presented
	☐ Respondent exhibits lack of knowledge in subject area	☐ Respondent exhibits adequate knowledge in subject area	☐ Respondent exhibits superior knowledge in subject area
☐ Attribute not applicable	☐ Responses do not meet level expected of a (Master's / Ph.D.) graduate	☐ Responses meet level expected of a (Master's / Ph.D.) graduate	☐ Responses exceed level expected of a (Master's / Ph.D.) graduate
Use of communication aids	☐ Communication aids are poorly prepared	☐ Communication aids contribute to the quality of the presentation	☐ Communication aids enhance the presentation
	☐ Too much information included	☐ Appropriate information is included	☐ Details are minimized so major
	☐ Listeners are confused	☐ Listeners can easily follow the	points stand out
	☐ Communication aids are used	presentation  ☐ Some material is not supported by	☐ Information is organized to maximize audience understanding
☐ Attribute not applicable	inappropriately	communication aids	Reliance on communication aids is minimal

Attribute	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Overall quality of theory /	☐ Arguments are incorrect, incoherent,	☐ Arguments are coherent and clear	☐ Arguments are superior
science	or flawed	☐ Objectives are clear	☐ Objectives are well defined
	☐ Objectives are poorly defined	☐ Demonstrates average critical thinking	☐ Exhibits mature, critical thinking skills
	☐ Demonstrates rudimentary critical thinking skills	skills	☐ Exhibits mastery of subject matter and
	□ Does not reflect understanding of subject matter and associated literature     □ Demonstrates poor understanding of theoretical concepts     □ Demonstrates limited originality	<ul> <li>□ Reflects understanding of subject matter and associated literature</li> <li>□ Demonstrates understanding of theoretical concepts</li> <li>□ Demonstrates originality</li> <li>□ Displays creativity and insight</li> </ul>	associated literature.  □ Demonstrates mastery of theoretical concepts □ Demonstrates exceptional originality
Aughor and and bala			☐ Displays exceptional creativity and insight
☐ Attribute not applicable	☐ Displays limited creativity and insight		
Contribution to discipline	☐ Limited evidence of discovery	☐ Some evidence of discovery	☐ Exceptional evidence of discovery
	☐ Limited expansion upon previous research	☐ Builds upon previous research ☐ Reasonable theoretical or applied	☐ Greatly extends previous research ☐ Exceptional theoretical or applied
	☐ Limited theoretical or applied	significance	significance
☐ Attribute not applicable	significance	☐ Reasonable publication potential	☐ Exceptional publication potential
0 11 0 11	☐ Limited publication potential		
Quality of writing	☐ Writing is weak	☐ Writing is adequate	☐ Writing is publication quality
	☐ Numerous grammatical and spelling errors apparent	☐ Some grammatical and spelling errors apparent	☐ No grammatical or spelling errors apparent
☐ Attribute not applicable	☐ Organization is poor	☐ Organization is logical	☐ Organization is excellent
11	☐ Documentation is poor	☐ Documentation is adequate	☐ Documentation is excellent
Ethical conduct	☐ Concerns	☐ No concerns	☐ Exceptional evidence of scientific rigor and ethical conduct
Overall Assessment	☐ Does not meet expectations	☐ Meets Expectations	☐ Exceeds Expectations
Comments:			

Comments continued:	
Comments continued.	
Committee Signatures:	
Commettee Digitatures.	